Progress Report: 41	Rep	orting Period: April 29 – May	Date: June 23, 2006 (Revised June 29, 2006)						
Site:		nond Alkali, Operable Unit 3, I ansion, New Jersey	Passaic River Study	IAG: DW96941975 IAG Expiration Date: 12/31/07					
Phase: RI/FS, OU3		CW41-02-D-0003, T.O. 0011 2DQ-06-D-0003, T.O. 0002	Malcolm Pirnie Pro 4553-025, 4553-027	ject Numbers: 4553-001, , and 4553-031					
USEPA RPMs: A Yeh & Tom Tacco		PH: 212-637-4427 PH: 212-637-4281	USACE PM: Elizabeth Buckrucker	PH: 816-389-3581					
MPI PM: Len Wai	rner	PH: 914-641-2972	MPI Deputy PM: Scott Thompson	PH: 914-641-2628					

#### **CRITICAL ISSUE SUMMARY:**

A schedule revision is proposed for the Interim Action Evaluation (IAE) task, as shown in the table below. Pirnie's original schedule provided ~4 months from receipt of the Low Res Coring data to submission of the pre-draft IAE report. To try to be responsive to EPA & stakeholder needs, the proposed revision includes less than 3 months of lead time. The proposed revision is due to: 1) EPA's decision that the public will receive the 3rd version of the IAE document, not the 2nd version (this accounts for about 2.5 months of the change); 2) a delay in receipt of CLP Low Res Coring lab data (accounts for 1.25 months of the change); and 3) an extended government review schedule for the pre-draft (accounts for 0.25 months of the change).

Task	New Date	Old Date
In-progress review	mid July	week of 6/19
Submit pre-draft IAE Report to	15-Aug	14-Jul
PMs		
Remedial Options Workgroup	25-Aug	16-Aug
Comments Due on pre-draft	31-Aug	21-Jul
Submit Draft to Partners	15-Sep	28-Jul
Comments Due on Draft	13-Oct	30-Aug
Submit Revised Draft to Public	30-Nov	Year End

- Malcolm Pirnie to meet with USACE regarding JTD management costs on DACW41 contract.
- Battelle awaiting authorization for refinement of sediment and water column risk assessment DQOs (not included in FSP Vol. 2 DQOs); USACE and USEPA to respond to technical support request for MPI and HQI budget to contribute to DQO refinement effort
- Additional funding required to complete High Resolution Coring archived sample analyses and allow continuation of Jim McCann effort to coordinate sample analyses, data review, and data validation. USACE approved the submittal of the sediment samples from Core 9A on or about May 22<sup>nd</sup> with a contingent request that MPI submit a Draft WVN on contract DACW41 for government review.
- Attached data analysis/validation status table updated from prior submittal in May 2006.

Task	Activities in Current	Next Milestone	Issues					
	Reporting Period							
Community Relations	<ul> <li>Final CIP was delivered to USEPA for a "backcheck" on May 3<sup>rd</sup>.</li> <li>Preparation of a revised Final CIP was conducted in response to USEPA comments regarding the document submitted on May 3<sup>rd</sup>.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Final CIP delivery to David Kluesner for review on June 2, 2006.</li> <li>First set of Final CIP hardcopies to be delivered to USEPA on June 9<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Additional 80 hardcopies and 144 cds to be submitted on June 13<sup>th</sup>.</li> </ul>	Final CIP budgets on Passaic River and Newark Bay expended. Requested USACE permission to bill hardcopy production to CI Support task on June 7 <sup>th</sup> .					
IAE Evaluation	<ul> <li>Developed presentation for Remedial Options         Workgroup and provided         "dry runs" on 5/10 and 5/17.</li> <li>Attended NJDEP         coordination meeting on 5/17.</li> <li>Attended Remedial Options         Workgroup meeting 5/24.</li> <li>Initiated erosion/deposition         analysis to determine         "always/usually" erosive         areas.</li> <li>Received analytical data         from low resolution coring         samples for mercury.</li> <li>Performed MPA         calculations using mercury         data.</li> <li>Continued detailed analysis         of alternatives, including         cost estimation.</li> <li>Initiated development of         IAE report text.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In-progress meeting with USEPA PM staff on July 13<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Presentation to USEPA RA on July 20<sup>th</sup>.</li> </ul>	A revised schedule is proposed for the IAE (refer to Scott Thompson e-mail dated May 25, 2006 and "Critical Issues" section of this report).					
FSP Volume 2	<ul> <li>Draft FSP Volume 2 DQOs submitted for BTAG review on April 28<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Draft FSP Volume 2 submitted to partner agencies for review and comment on May 19<sup>th</sup>.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Incorporation of partner agency comments.</li> <li>Submittal of FSP 2 in electronic and hardcopy format to stakeholders by June 19<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Sampling Workgroup meeting to be scheduled.</li> </ul>	See discussion on full DQO refinement effort under "Critical Issues" above.					

Task	Activities in Current Reporting Period	Next Milestone	Issues						
Sediment Transport Model	Held conference call on May 3 <sup>rd</sup> with TAC members to discuss SEDZLJ implementation in ECOM. Participants included Larry Sanford, Craig Jones, members of the TAC, as well as HydroQual's staff.	<ul> <li>HQI to complete subcontract for Sea Eng'g (Craig Jones)</li> <li>Begin SEDZLJ implementation</li> <li>Internal mtg. planned for the June 12<sup>th</sup> with participation of Larry Sanford</li> </ul>	None.						
Hydrodynamic Model	<ul> <li>Presented hydrodynamic model calibration to TAC and Modeling Workgroup on May 22<sup>nd</sup> at USEPA Region 2.</li> <li>Conducted additional model-data comparison analyses for revision of Hydrodynamic Report</li> <li>Revised mooring plots based on new field survey details available from Rutgers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review stakeholder comments (i.e., TSI and demaximis)</li> <li>Revised Hydrodynamic Report due July 10<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>Pending USEPA approval, prepare documentation for IAE flood modeling.</li> </ul>	None.						
Final Modeling Plan	HydroQual revising Final Modeling Plan.	<ul> <li>HydroQual to resubmit Final Modeling Plan on June 1<sup>st</sup>.</li> <li>Meeting on June 20<sup>th</sup> to address USEPA comments on modeling documents.</li> </ul>	None.						
Field Investigations/ Draft Round 1 Report	Refer to attached table for status of collected environmental samples, analytical results, and data validation.	<ul> <li>Ship Hi Res core 9A sediment samples to Axys on June 2<sup>nd</sup>.</li> <li>Ship samples from Hi Res Cores 26A and 32A upon ACE approval (prior to July 18<sup>th</sup>).</li> <li>Draft Round 1 Report on or about November 8, 2006</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Additional budget needed to fund analysis of remaining 36 or so high resolution core segments and QA/QC samples.</li> <li>Schedule information needed for CLP analyses from USEPA.</li> </ul>						
CSM/Problem Formulation	Awaiting remaining USEPA and BTAG comments on technical memos.	Discuss agency comments on technical memos	The project scope is designed to incorporate comment responses into the forthcoming HHRA and ERA effort. Discussions will be held with ACE/EPA to best determine how to address the completed set of comments.						
WOE Assessment and Data Usability Evaluation	Activities not yet initiated.	Scope under discussion.	Battelle to revise task plan for data usability criteria in accordance with USEPA and Malcolm Pirnie comments.						

Task	Activities in Current Reporting Period	Next Milestone	Issues
DQO Refinement	Work continued this period on refinement of the Passaic DQOs (only those related to FSP Volume 2), following submittal to the BTAG on April 28 <sup>th</sup> and incorporation of resulting comments.	Awaiting ACE/EPA direction. Completing the Lower Passaic River DQO refinement, including contributions by MPI and HQI (refer to technical support request W912DQ-002), would be useful in the scoping discussions for the water column sampling to be conducted by the PRPs.	At the request of the USACE, discussions were initiated on the technical/financial implications of temporarily stopping work on DQO activities not essential to FSP Vol. 2. Battelle determined that the FSP Vol. 2-related DQOs represented approximately 50% of the total effort. An additional 10% likely will need to be spent to respond to BTAG input. Should work stop on this task, the negotiated effort would need to increase by 5-10% to finish the task.
Meetings & Teleconferences	May 3 <sup>rd</sup> – TAC teleconference on modeling May 8 <sup>th</sup> – Biweekly call May 15 <sup>th</sup> – Progress call on sample analysis and validation with USACE and USEPA May 17 <sup>th</sup> – Dry Run for Remedial Options Workgroup May 22 <sup>nd</sup> – Model Workgroup Meeting May 23 <sup>rd</sup> – Biweekly call May 24 <sup>th</sup> – Remedial Options Workgroup	June 6 – Biweekly call June 19 – Biweekly call Sampling Workgroup – TBA USEPA IAE Meeting – July 13 USEPA In-progress Meeting – July 20	Not applicable.
PREmis	<ul> <li>MS Excel tracking spreadsheet created in PREmis for contract W912DQ.</li> <li>Coordination between IT staff and data validators to facilitate upload, validation, and approval of field data.</li> <li>Hydrodynamic Model Report directory reformatted as requested by USACE.</li> </ul>	Continue upload, validation, and approval of data from 2005-06 field investigations.	None.
www.ourPassaic. org	<ul> <li>Posted Gust Microcosm report on May 1st.</li> <li>Upload presentation from Remedial Options Workgroup on May 26<sup>th</sup>.</li> </ul>	Post additional public documents, such as sediment transport experiment reports and modeling plans, as directed.	None.

## **BUDGET STATUS AND FORECAST** DACW41 TASK ORDER 0011 LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT Reporting Period 04/29/2006 through 05/26/2006

Task Description	Negotiated Budget Aut	nthorized Budget (as of dated 07/28/2000	WVN 12, 1/01	01/05 through 0	Costs from 02/12/05 through 03/11/05	Costs from 03/12/05 through 04/15/05	Costs from 04/16/05 through 05/13/05	Costs from n 05/14/05 through 06/17/05	Costs from n 06/18/05 through 07/15/05	Costs from 07/16/05 through 08/12/05	Costs from 08/13/05 through 09/16/05	Costs from 09/17/05 through 10/14/05	Costs from 10/15/05 through 11/11/05	Costs from 11/12/05 through 12/16/05	Costs from 12/17/05 through 01/13/06	Costs from 01/14/06 through 02/10/06	Costs from 02/11/06 through 03/17/06	Costs from 03/18/06 through 04/28/06	04/20/06 through 3 1 D CO	Author	orized Budget Ta	iack Percent		Estimated Cost at Completion		3-Mo	onth Forecast		Authoriz Forecast	to be Spent	ecast (Sept. 2006	uthorized Funding Less JTD & Forecast Amount	Comments
		Percent Do	ollars																						Jun-06	Jul-06	Aug-06 Cost	Estimated From June Aug. 2006 Total Esti	imated Spent				
WAD 3 - Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Services WO 01 - Project Administration/Reporting																																	
WO 01 - Project Administration/Reporting Subtotal WO 02 - Meetings WO 02 - Meetings Subtotal	+ 10,0 12	100% \$4	.,	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$4 \$0 \$6	,0.12		100%	\$0 \$0	\$46,042 \$9,106	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$46,04 \$0 \$9.10	042 10	00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
WO 03 - Pre-Expansion Activity Plan and Schedule WO 03 - Pre-Expansion Activity Plan and Schedule Subtotal		100% \$12	,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$1			100%	\$0	\$12,920	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$12,95		00%	\$0	\$0	
WO 04 - Populate and QC Database  WO 04 - Populate and QC Database Subtotal  WO 05 - Web Site and GIS System	\$63,530	99% \$6.	2,990	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,910	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$6	2,991	100%	100%	\$0	\$62,991	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$62,99	991 10	00%	\$0	-\$1 M	nish Park data upload completed under budget; reallocate \$5
WO 05 - Web Site and GIS System Subtotal WO 06 - Establish Technical Expert Team 6a. Establish Technical Expert Team	7	100% \$11	5,409	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$6,586	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$11	2,723	100%	100%	\$0 \$0	\$115,731 \$25,409	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	40	\$0 \$115,7 \$0 \$25,40	730 10	00%	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$0	
WO 06 - Establish Technical Expert Team Subtotal WAD 3 - Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Services Total		100% \$2: 100% \$27	- ,	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$6,586</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$4,910</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	1.5	,	100% 100%	100% 100%	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$25,409 <b>\$272,199</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 \$25,40 \$0 \$272,1		00%	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$1</b>	
WAD 4 - Project Management and Community Relations WO 01 - Project Management and Administration																																	
1.1a Project Management 1.1a Project Management (2005-06) 1.2a Project Support Documentation and Administration	\$86,428 \$223,525 \$79,111		9,114 34,793 9,111	\$0 \$11,211 \$0	\$0 \$17,190 \$0	\$0 \$19,720 \$0	\$0 \$20,740 \$0	\$0 \$28,769 \$0	\$0 \$17,150 \$0	\$0 \$29,894 \$0	\$0 \$25,671 \$0	\$26,924	\$20,077	\$18,114	\$8,922	\$15,420	\$16,690	\$8,300	\$28	9,114 4,793 9,111	100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$89,114 \$284,793 \$79,111	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$89,1 \$0 \$284,7 \$0 \$79,1	793 10	00% 00% 00%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	fort through March 31, 2006.
1.2a Project Support Documentation and Administration (2005-06) 1.3a Subcontract Administration Laboratories	\$120,841 \$61,233	111% \$13 124% \$7	5,632	\$14,476 \$5,998	\$5,130 \$2,803	\$12,887 \$10,918	\$10,645 \$11,115	\$9,383 \$1,442	\$6,772 \$0	\$7,424 \$11,068	\$9,948 \$3,375	\$8,863	\$16,594	\$8,834	\$5,024	\$9,333	\$5,011	\$3,795	\$13 \$7	4,119 6,632	100%	100% 100%	\$0 \$0	\$134,119 \$75,632	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$134,1 \$0 \$75,6	119 10	00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 E:	fort through March 31, 2006.
1.3b Subcontract Administration Field Sampling Support  1.3c Professional Subcontractors	,			\$804 \$4,489	\$1,145 \$4,051	\$4,016 \$3,994	\$4,935 \$4,497	\$8,711 \$5,204	\$7,211 \$8,841	\$9,605 \$3,793	\$12,941 \$15,462	\$9,532	\$7,794 \$8,892	\$9,638 \$11,339	\$1,610 \$4,306	\$2,150		\$2,318	\$13		100%	100%	\$0 \$0	\$88,030 \$134,975	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$134,9	975 10	00%	\$0	\$0 E	fort through March 31, 2006.
1.3d Radionuclide and POC Laboratories 1.3e Field Sampling Support - Summer/Fall 2004	\$4,806		5,620 1,741	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$5,620 \$4,741	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0								\$4	,741	100%	100%	\$0 \$0	\$5,620 \$4,741	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$5,62 \$0 \$4,74	41 10	00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 E	fort through March 31, 2006, including prep for April PDT
1.4a Project Communications  WO 01 - Project Management and Administration Subtotal  WO 02 - Community Relations	\$481,285 \$1,205,680	116% \$55 121% \$1,4	57,764	\$27,254 \$64,233	\$12,993 \$43,312	\$36,614 \$88,149	\$31,502 \$83,434	\$22,980 \$86,850	\$27,617 \$67,591	\$23,266 \$85,051	\$39,066 \$106,463	\$35,476 \$100,414	\$88,309 \$141,666	\$39,618 \$87,542	\$18,614 \$38,476	\$13,295 \$40,198	\$1,454 \$23,156	\$14,945 \$29,358		7,764	100%	100%	\$0 \$0	\$557,764 \$1,453,899	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$557,7 \$0 \$1,453,	701	00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 pr \$0	esentation.
2.1a Public Meeting Support (graphics/attendance) 2.1b Fact Sheets (topic-specific)	\$24,341 \$24,710	3% \$	8,679	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$6,202 \$544	\$2,477 \$272	\$11	\$2.10E					\$	316	100%	100%	\$0 \$0	\$8,690 \$816	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$8,69 \$0 \$816 \$0 \$11.3	6 10	00%	\$0 \$0	-\$11 \$0	
2.1c Ongoing Communications Support 2.2a Stakeholder/Community Interviews 2.2b Draft Community Involvement Plan	\$54,285	100% \$10 101% \$54	4,733	\$0 \$7,444 \$1,905	\$0 \$1,582 \$272	\$0 \$532 \$7,350	\$49 \$36 \$11,081	\$544 \$0 \$13,457	\$272 \$0 \$9,882	\$646 \$0 \$8,644	\$1,264 \$0 \$2,142	\$4,311	\$1,020	\$3,197					\$1 \$5	5,233 1,733	100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	\$0 \$0	\$11,303 \$16,233 \$54,733	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$11,30 \$0 \$16,22 \$0 \$54,73	233 10	00% 00% 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	
2.2c RTC/Final CIP  WO 02 - Community Relations Subtotal  WO 03 - Technical Support		10070	3,628 00,392	\$0 \$9,349	\$0 \$1,854	\$0 \$7,882	\$0 \$11,167	\$0 \$14,002	\$0 \$10,154	\$0 \$9,289	\$0 \$10,152	\$7,060	\$1,031	\$3,197	\$0	\$143 \$143	\$0	\$7,416 \$7,416			90%	90%	\$866 \$866	\$8,628 \$100,403	\$866 \$866	\$0 \$0		\$866 \$8,62 \$866 \$100,4		00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 Fi	nal CIP hardcopies submitted on 6/12/2006.
3.1a MPI Technical Support 3.1a Technical Support (2005)		Φ3.	5,082 3,054	\$0 \$9,823	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$8,547	\$0 \$10,717	\$932		\$8,536		\$4,787	\$10,142		\$7,323			100%	100% 100%	\$0 \$0	\$35,082 \$60,806	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$35,00 \$0 \$60,80	.02	00% 41%	\$0 \$0	\$0 -\$17,752	nding reserved for W. Lick Sedflume Analyses and J. Butche
3.2a Subcontractor Technical Support  WO 03 - Technical Support Subtotal	\$189,053	53% \$10	- ,	\$0 \$9,823	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$10,717	\$0 \$932	\$0 \$0	\$8,536		\$4,787	\$1,762 \$11,904	\$0	\$6,893 \$14,215	\$0 \$11				\$3,097 \$3,097		\$2,100 \$2,100		\$0 \$	2,100 \$21,50 2,100 \$117,3	391 11	96%	\$0 \$0	\$997 pa	rticipation in Dec 2005 TAC conference.
WAD 4 - Project Administration Total WAD 5 - Technical Studies & Investigations	\$1,562,674	106% \$1,6	54,927	\$83,404	\$45,166	\$96,031	\$94,601	\$100,852	\$86,291	\$105,057	\$117,546	\$107,474	\$151,233	\$90,740	\$43,264	\$52,245	\$23,156	\$50,989	\$203 \$1,6	58,727	101%	100%	\$3,963	\$1,672,690	\$866	\$0	\$0 \$	2,966 \$1,671,	,693 10	01%	\$0	-\$16,766	
WO 01 - RI/FS Work Plan Preparation  1.4c. RTC/Final Modeling Plan  1.5e. FSP Volume 2 (Biota): Draft (2005)	\$31,461 \$79,998		1,461 9,998	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$658 \$0	\$785 \$0	\$3,316 \$0	\$304 \$0	\$0 \$0			\$587		\$939	\$2,034 \$16,947	\$14,334 \$40,903		3,953	92% 79%	92% 79%	\$2,508 \$16,533	\$31,461 \$79,998	\$2,508 \$16,533	ΨΟ	\$0 \$ \$0 \$	2,508 \$31,40 16,533 \$79,90		00%	\$0 \$0		20/06 meeting at USEPA to address Final Plan. aft FSP 2 delivered to PRPs on 6/16/2006.
WO 01 - RI/FS Work Plan Preparation Subtotal WO 02 - Preliminary Risk Assessment 2.2b. Conceptual Site Model/Problem Formulation	\$1,100,729 \$121,953	7-70	12,141	\$50,069	\$50,573	\$141,991	\$24,176	\$41,048	\$68,560	\$77,234	\$31,122 \$15,787	\$3,680 \$14,576	\$834 \$50,496	\$21,999 \$18,154	\$0 \$6,348	\$939 \$9,014	\$18,981 \$7,612	\$62,873		2,390	98%	104%	\$19,040	\$1,012,140 \$126,820	\$19,041	\$0	\$0 \$	19,041 \$1,011, \$0 \$126.8	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	00%	\$0	\$709	ch memo review required add'l effort
2.2c. Develop Weight of Evidence Approach for Eco Risk Assessment  WO 02 - Preliminary Risk Assessment Subtotal	\$27,437		5,727	\$0 \$87	\$0 \$0 \$87	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$27,377	\$654 \$3,642	\$164 \$4,076	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0	\$14,576	\$1,007					\$23,903 \$23,903	\$2	5,727	100% 100%	94% 89%	\$0 \$0	\$25,727 \$228,486	\$0 \$0	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	\$0 \$25,72	227 10	00%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 Se	e W912DQ BSF for continued WOE effort.
WO 03 - Work Plan Implementation for 2004 - 2005 Sampling Event 3.1b Health and Safety Activities 3.2a Technical Coordination and Field Support		<i>γ</i> , φ	1,037 5,249	\$157 \$609	\$0 \$1,855	\$48 \$11,953	\$860 \$12,460	\$1,649 \$2,928	\$12 \$3,020	\$0 \$19,749	\$177 \$7,938	\$665 \$5,295				\$457	\$7,000		·	,	100%	100% 100%	\$0 \$0	\$4,037 \$75,249	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$4,03 \$0 \$75,24	10	00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 Fe	ture WVN to address minor overage.
3.2b Sample Collection and Sample Management 3.3a Field Investigation Expenses 3.3c Coring Subcontracts and Divers	\$850,058	65% \$55		\$8,328 \$14,417 \$0	\$7,359 \$618 \$0	\$2,632 \$5,311	\$7,165 \$7,194	\$3,557 \$54,286	\$3,725 \$84,459	\$6,597 \$131,353 \$0	\$20,047 \$79,486	\$26,361 \$84,836 \$130,947	\$5,479 \$15,369 \$15,200	\$14,523	\$7,189	\$979 \$8,304 \$30,635	\$1,160 \$3,819	\$756	\$55	5,227	100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$108,142 \$555,227 \$176,782	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$108,1 \$0 \$555,2 \$0 \$176,7	227 10	00% 00% 00%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 Sa \$530 C \$0 Ta	me as 3.2a above. intinuing expenses to be addressed in W912DQ. sk completed under budget.
3.4a Field Data QC Review (2005) 3.4c QA Coordinator	\$8,331	99% \$8	3,287	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	φ130,247	Ψ13,200		\$1,681	\$2,285	\$2,701	\$1,621		287	100%	99%	\$0 \$0	\$8,287	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$8,28	87 10	00%	\$0	0 \$0 W \$594	agoing need for QC support at about \$3K/month address in AD 06 WE 7.4.
WO 03 - Work Plan Implementation for 2004 -2005 Sampling Event WO 04 - Implementation of FSP Activities (2005-2006)		73% \$1,0	2,949	\$26,685				\$62,438	\$91,306	\$157,815	\$110,115	\$255,431	\$42,883	\$14,523	\$8,869	Ψ9,330	\$14,680	\$2,377				100%	\$0	\$1,029,408	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$1,029,			\$0	\$1,124	
4.1a Logistics and Mobilization (2005) 4.1b Equipment Manager (2005) 4.1c Health and Safety Administration (2005)		100% \$2	7,675 1,145 2,881	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$8,129 \$0 \$0	\$10,988 \$0 \$0	\$28,553 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$5 \$11,963 \$1,069	\$9,182 \$784	\$786	\$242					\$2	,145	100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 65%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$47,675 \$21,145 \$2,881	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$47,6° \$0 \$21,1° \$0 \$2,88	.45 10	00% 00% 00%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	
4.1d Sample Collection and Core Processing (2005) 4.2 Technical System and Health & Safety Audits (2005)	\$3,153,787 \$18,705	41% \$1,2 39% \$7	97,142 7,353	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$97,794 \$3,144	\$236,942	\$211,728 \$1,477	\$87,617	\$107,987	\$112,129	\$69,222	\$194,148 \$1,129		20,424	86% 83%	89% 83%	\$188,346 \$0	\$1,308,770 \$6,079	\$176,718 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1 \$0	76,718 \$1,297, \$0 \$6,07	,	00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 ac	ture WVN to provide funding for Hi Res Core 9A analyses; ditional funding required to complete program.  Implete and upload TSA's.
WO 04 - Implementation of FSP Activities (2005-2006) WO 06 - Model Development, Calibration, and Application (2005-2007) 6.1a Hydrodynamic Technical Memorandum (2005)	\$3,252,365 \$621,411	42% \$1,3	76,196 52,550	\$0	\$8,129	\$10,988	\$28,553	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$74,065	\$113,975 \$51,634	\$246,909 \$60,051	\$213,992 \$65,968	\$87,859 \$68,890	\$107,987 \$7,962	\$112,129 \$313	\$69,222 \$3,052	\$195,278 \$4,916	\$3,184 \$1,1		91%		\$188,346	\$1,386,549 \$411,827	\$176,718	\$0	\$0 \$1	76,718 \$1,374, \$0 \$411.8	,	91%	\$0	\$1,275	ork halted following submittal of calibration report.
6.1b Sediment Transport Technical Memorandum (2005)  WO 06 - Model Development, Calibration, and Application (2005-2007)	\$748,654	31% \$23	33,525 36,075	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$13,898 \$53,635	\$27,797 \$45,020	\$6,949 \$24,964	\$20,847	\$35,443	\$33,000	\$32,996	\$47,934	\$0	\$774 \$1,087		\$9,445 \$14,362	\$22	9,084	98%	91% 100% 94%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$229,084 \$640,911	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$229,0 \$0 \$640,9	084 9	98%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$4,441 C	ed to verify availability of \$10K in budget on WO 06.
WAD 5 - Technical Studies & Investigation Total	\$7,497,487	58% \$4,3	33,428	\$76,841	\$71,468	\$186,306	\$174,733	\$152,148	\$188,905	\$329,961	\$358,075	\$613,647	\$408,174	\$259,359	\$131,166	\$175,159	\$113,548	\$298,792	\$13,121 \$4,0	89,398	94%	96%	\$207,387	\$4,297,495	\$195,759	\$0	\$0 \$1	95,759 \$4,285,	,157 9	99%	\$0	\$48,270	
WAD 6 - Data Management and Presentation WO 01 - Map Guide		460																		200	1000									005			
1.1 Map Guide  WO 01 - Map Guide Subtotal  WO 02 - Public Website	1 - 7		9,388	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	100%	100%	\$0 \$0	\$49,388 \$49,388	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$49,30 \$0 \$49,30		00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
2.1 Maintenance and Support  WO 02 - Public Website Subtotal  WO 03 - Private Website	\$61,795 \$61,795	17.11	9,008	\$3,235 \$3,235	\$8,090 \$8,090	\$4,880 \$4,880	\$2,988 \$2,988	\$2,825 \$2,825	\$1,167 \$1,167	\$0 \$0	\$1,833 \$1,833	\$41 \$41	\$849 \$849	\$705 \$705	\$267 \$267	\$0	\$880 \$880	\$87 \$87	· ·	,	100%	100%	\$0 \$0	\$49,008 \$49,008	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	ΨΟ	\$0 \$49,00 \$0 \$49,00		00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 C	entinuing expenses to be addressed in W912DQ.
3.2 Website Reports 3.3 Management Website Reports	\$48,294 \$9,883		5,192	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0		\$9,277	\$1,408			\$3.273	\$2.454		,	80% 100%	85% 50%	\$5,000 \$0	\$25,192 \$5,727	\$3,000 \$0	\$2,000	\$0 \$	5,000 \$25,19 \$0 \$5,72		00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 PI	red to prepare an internal website report to enhance access to Emis data.
3.4 Maintenance and Support  WO 03 - Private Website Subtotal	\$47,322	213% \$10	00,907	\$0 \$0 \$110	\$950 \$2,916	\$1,203 \$7,994	\$1,110 \$5,517	\$18,813 \$37,966	\$736 \$736	\$11,813 \$11,813	\$5,005 \$5,005	\$30,892 \$30,892	\$11,844 \$21,121	\$8,557 \$9,965	\$2,589 \$2,589	\$3,634 \$3,634	\$3,273 \$2,435 \$5,708	\$1,327 \$3,781		0,907	100%	100%	\$0 \$0 \$5,000	\$100,907	\$0	\$0 \$2,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$0 \$100,9 5,000 \$190,8	907 10	00% 00% 00%	\$0 \$0	-\$1 C	intinuing expenses to be addressed in W912DQ.
WO 04 - Database (update for MEDD fields)  WO 04 - Database Subtotal  WO 05 - Field Application			6,194	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,721		\$2,530		\$0	\$0	\$595	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		, -		100%	\$0	\$16,194	\$0	Ψ0	Ψ0	\$0 \$16,19		00%	\$0	\$0	
5.4 QA/QC  WO 05 - Field Application Subtotal  WO 06 - Technical Task Communication	\$71,592 \$266,115	89%       \$6.         83%       \$22	3,592	\$0 \$417	\$0 \$160	\$0 \$10,552	\$120 \$14,876	\$969 \$31,817	\$1,926 \$13,903	\$245 \$9,319	\$20,129 \$59,042	\$9,141 \$11,521	\$7, <del>6</del> 79 \$12,449	\$2,598 \$3,487	\$560 \$560	\$2,038 \$2,038	\$720 \$720	\$0	\$505 \$5 \$505 \$2	2,538	97%	97%	\$7,687 \$7,687	\$63,592 \$220,226	\$7,687 \$7,687	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$ \$0 \$	7,687 \$63,59 7,687 \$220,2	192 10 2225 10	00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 Q \$2	C PREmis water column field data 2005-06.
WO 06 - Technical Task Communication Subtotal WO 07 - Data Evaluation		101% \$34	,		\$1,718	\$3,926	\$3,581		\$3,559		\$3,727	\$3,426	\$1,421	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		100%	\$0	\$34,361	\$0	\$0	\$0	70 70,0		00%	\$0	-\$1	
7.1a Data Upload: 2004 - 2005 Hydrodynamic and Sediment Data 7.2a Data Evaluation: 2004 - 2005 Hydrodynamic and Sediment Data 7.3 Preliminary Geochemical and Statistical Analysis (2005)	\$43,739 \$305,822	54% \$2. 100% \$30	3,739 05,822	\$1,169 \$2,855 \$0	\$0 \$10,245 \$26,233	\$0 \$1,164 \$30,285	\$0 \$0 \$10,740	\$1,399 \$388 \$10,157	\$1,493 \$1,539 \$9,593	\$1,958 \$277 \$36,857	\$0 \$0 \$51,987	\$842 \$6,942	\$924 \$18,973	\$1,903 \$33,494	\$3,564 \$21,134	\$0 \$49,395	\$313		\$2 \$30	6,700 6,102	90% 100% 100%	90% 100% 100%	\$0 \$0	\$6,019 \$23,700 \$306,102	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$6,01 \$0 \$23,70 \$0 \$306,1	700 10 102 10	90% .00% .00%	\$0 \$0	\$673 \$39 -\$280	
7.4 Data Validation (2005) 7.5a Evaluate Hydrodynamic/SW/Sediment Data (2005) 7.5b Draft Rnd 1 Data Gap/Data Eval. Report/Supplemental WP (2005)	\$128,746	71% \$9	2,560 1,746 6,452	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,034	\$0 \$0 \$2,992	\$1,504 \$0 \$546	\$0 \$998 \$11,458	\$129 \$13,544 \$4,100	\$10,321 \$13,474 \$3,330	\$12,549 \$13,370 \$1,400	\$5,335 \$36,172 \$1,252	\$3,350 \$7,123 \$1,071	\$9,179 \$4,355	\$911 \$9	),981	52% 99% 99%	52% 71% 61%	\$44,422 \$0 \$0	\$92,560 \$90,981 \$26,149	\$20,000 \$0 \$0	\$15,000 S \$0 \$0	\$9,000 \$4 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$92,12 \$0 \$90,96 \$0 \$26,14	981 9	00% 99% 99%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$422 \$765 Ft \$303 Ft	nding to be WVN'ed to WAD 05 WE 4.1d nding to be WVN'ed to WAD 05 WE 4.1d
7.5c Final Rnd 1 Data Gap/Data Eval. Report/Supplemental WP (2005-2006)  WO 07 - Data Evaluation	\$4,406	0%	\$0 17,011	\$0 \$4,024	\$0 \$36,478	\$0 \$31,449	\$0 \$10,740	\$0 \$11,943	\$13,658	\$0 \$42,085	\$0 \$54,037	\$20,240	\$37,671	\$62,521	\$52,017	\$92,153	\$11,856	\$13,534		60	0% 92%	0%	\$0 \$44,422	\$0 \$545,511	\$0 \$20,000	\$0 \$15,000	\$0 \$9,000 \$4	\$0 \$0 14,000 \$545,0	(	0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,922	
WAD 6 - Data Management and Presentation Total WAD 7 - Feasibility Study	\$1,246,237	89% \$1,1	07,029	\$9,225	\$49,362	\$58,801	\$40,423	\$94,965	\$35,554	\$67,464	\$123,645	\$66,120	\$74,106	\$76,679	\$55,432	\$97,825	\$19,164	\$17,402	\$7,189 \$1,0	18,420	95%	91%	\$57,110	\$1,105,528	\$30,687	\$17,000	\$9,000 \$:	56,687 \$1,105,	,107 10	00%	\$0	\$1,922	
WO 01 - Preliminary Feasibility Study  1.1 Preliminary Feasibility Study (2005)  1.2 IRM Evaluation (2005-2006)	\$63,872		7,661 37,323	\$702	\$8,245	\$10,024	\$850	\$610	\$134	\$268	\$2,364	\$4,464	\$0 \$28,207	\$18,702	\$6,840	\$34,057	\$27.292	\$72,492	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	100% NA	100% 100%	\$0 \$0	\$27,661 \$211.929	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$27,60 \$0 \$211.9		00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 O	rerrun will be applied to existing funding in WE 1.2 below.
WO 01 - Preliminary Feasibility Study  WAD 7 - Feasibility Study Total		415% \$26	54,984		\$8,245	\$10,024	\$850	\$610	\$134	\$268	\$2,364	\$4,464	\$28,207	\$18,702	\$6,840	\$34,057 \$34,057	\$27,292	\$72,492	\$24,339 \$23	9,590	90%	100%	\$0	\$239,590	\$0	Ψ	ΨΟ	\$0 \$239,5	590 9	90%	\$0	\$25,394	
WAD 8 - Fee					\$8,245 \$10,095	\$10,024	\$850 \$11,047	\$16.248	\$134	<b>\$268</b> \$36,779	<b>\$2,364</b> \$16,793	\$4,464 \$56,385	<b>\$28,207</b> \$21,457	\$18,702 \$0	<b>\$6,840</b> \$10,369	\$34,057 \$51,741	\$27,292 \$23,162	\$72,492 \$28,150	\$24,339 \$2: \$2,477 \$3			100% NA	<b>\$0</b> \$35,105	\$239,590 \$432,373				\$0 \$239,5 12,500 \$409,7		90%	\$0 \$22,605	\$25,394	
WAD 08 - Fee (\$2,173 is non-billable, as per WVN 12)  WAD 8 - Project Fee Subtotal	\$582,710	75% \$43	4,552	\$9,496 <b>\$9,496</b>	\$10,095	\$9,044 <b>\$9,044</b>	\$11,047	\$16,248	\$16,046 <b>\$16,046</b>	\$36,779	\$16,793	\$56,385 <b>\$56,385</b>	\$21,457	\$0	\$10,369	\$51,741	\$23,162	\$28,159 <b>\$28,159</b>	\$2,477 \$39	7,268	91% <b>91%</b>	NA	\$35,105	\$432,373	\$10,000	\$1,000	\$1,500	12,500 \$409,7	768 9	94%	\$22,605	\$0	
Fee Claimed*	\$11,225,719	72% \$8,00	67,119 \$	\$179,668	\$184,337	\$366,791	\$321,653	\$364,823	\$326,930	\$539,528	\$618,423	\$848,091	\$688,088	\$445,479	\$247,071	\$411,027	\$206,320	\$467,834	\$47,329 \$7,7		96%	91%	\$303,565	\$8,019,876	\$237,312	\$18,000 \$	10,500 \$2	67,912 \$7,983,	514 9	99%	\$22,605	\$58,820	
Blue font represents tasks that are completed.	invoiced to the USAC																																

1: For the purposes of this report, all WAD 3 expenses were added into this task.

\* The fee claimed does not incorporate subconsultant charges that have not yet been invoiced to the USACE.

<sup>2</sup>: The estimate to complete for fee will always be greater than or equal to the actual fee to complete since this column assumes a fee percentage of 7%. However, if subconsultant costs are included in the labor and expenses estimate to complete, the fee on subs is 4.61%.

3: The additional funding columns represent monies that are needed for the next 3 months after the required date.

# BUDGET STATUS AND FORECAST W912DQ TASK ORDER 0002 LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT Reporting Period 04/29/2006 through 05/26/2006

						I	I	ı ı	Г			T		ı	ı	Г		T	1				Т	ı	Г			ı
Task Description	Negotiated Budget		Budget (as of ATP 1, ed 03/31/2006)	Costs from 4/01/06 through 04/28/06	Costs from 04/29/06 through 05/26/06	Costs from 05/27/06 through 06/30/06	Costs from 07/01/06 through 07/28/06	Costs from 07/29/06 through 08/25/06	Costs from 08/26/06 through 09/29/06	Costs from 09/30/06 through 10/27/06	Costs from 10/28/06 through 11/24/06	Costs from 11/25/06 through 12/29/06	Sub Costs that have been Invoiced	JTD Costs through 04/28/06	Authorized	JTD Estimated Task Percent Complete	Estimate to Complete <sup>2</sup>	Estimated Cost at Completion		3-	-Month Forec	ast		Percent of Authorized Budget Forecast to be Spent by August	4 - 6 Month Forecast (September through November 2006)	Authorized Funding Less Forecast Amount at September 2006	Additional Funding Required by September	Comments
		Percent	Dollars		35,25,33	33,23,03	37,23,00	33,20,00	03122100	20,21,00	22/2 1/00	22,23,00							Jun-06	Jul-06	Aug-06	Total Estimated Cost from July thru Aug 2006	Total Estimated + Total Spent	2006	2000)	5 <b></b>	2006	
WAD 01 - Project Management and Community Relations WO 01 - Project Management and Administration																												
1.1 Project Management	\$215,104	33%	\$71,634	\$12,665	\$12,549									\$25,214	35%	50%	\$46,420	\$71,634	\$17,925	\$17,925	\$17,925	\$53,775	\$78,989	110%	\$53,775	-\$7,355	\$61,130	
1.2 Project Support Documentation and Administration 1.3 Subcontract Administration	\$77,902 \$38,111	36% 35%	\$28,089 \$13,161	\$4,768 \$3,537	\$3,977 \$6,578									\$8,745 \$10,116	31% 77%	50% 77%	\$19,344 \$3,045	\$28,089 \$13,161	\$6,500 \$3,175	\$6,500 \$3,175	\$6,500 \$3,175	\$19,500 \$9,525	\$28,245 \$19,641	101% 149%	\$19,500 \$9,525	-\$156 -\$6,480		Effort front-loaded due to new subcontract prep/negotiation.
1.4 Project Communications	\$283,603 WO 01 Subtotal \$614,720	36% 35%	\$102,825 \$215,709	\$13,053 \$34,023	\$5,407 \$28,512	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,460 \$62,535	18% 29%	#VALUE!	\$84,365 \$153,174	\$102,825 \$215,709	\$23,600 \$51,200	\$23,600 \$51,200	\$23,600 \$51,200	\$70,800 \$153,600	\$89,260 \$216,135	87% 100%	\$70,800 \$153,600	\$13,565 -\$426	\$57,235 \$154,026	
WO 02 - Community Relations 2.1a Public Meeting Support (graphics/attendance)	\$2,806	0%	\$0											\$0	0%		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0		On hold awaiting USACE/USEPA direction.
2.1b Fact Sheets (topic-specific) 2.1c Communications Support	\$26,702 \$13,761 WO 02 Subtotal \$43,269	0% 25% 8%	\$0 \$3,440 \$3,440	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	0% 0% 0%	0%	\$0 \$3,440 \$3,440	\$0 \$3,440 \$3,440	\$0 \$1,500 \$1,500	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,500 \$1,500	\$0 \$1,500 \$1,500	0% 44% 44%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,940 \$1,940		On hold awaiting USACE/USEPA direction.  Final CIP hardcopy and cd production effort.
WO 03 - Technical Advisory Committee and Quality Control  3.1 Technical Advisory Committee and Quality Control	\$136,833	27%	\$36,308		\$1,776	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				\$1,776	5%	5%	\$34,532	\$36,308	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,776	5%	\$0	\$34,532	\$0	
WO 04 - Technical Support	WO 03 Subtotal \$136,833	27%	\$36,308	\$0	\$1,776	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,776	5%	5%	\$34,532	\$36,308	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,776	5%	\$0	\$34,532	\$0	
4.1 Technical Support	\$94,578 WO 04 Subtotal \$94,578	15% 15%	\$14,187 \$14,187	\$0 \$0	\$3,172 \$3,172	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$3,172 \$3,172	22% 22%	22% 22%	\$11,015 \$11,015	\$14,187 \$14,187	\$3,172 \$3,172	\$26,800 \$26,800	\$0 \$0	\$29,972 \$29,972	\$33,144 \$33,144	234% 234%	\$0 \$0	-\$18,957 -\$18,957		Potential TS approval for HQI and MPI DQO refinement (no work will be initiated without USACE approval).
·	Administration Total \$889,400	30%	\$269,644	\$34,023	\$33,460	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$67,483	25%	#VALUE!	\$202,161	\$269,644	\$55,872	\$78,000	\$51,200	\$185,072	\$252,555	94%	\$153,600	\$17,089	\$172,983	
WAD 2 - Technical Studies & Investigations WO 01 - Project Websites																												
1.1 Project Team Website (PREmis) 1.2 Public Website (www.ourPassaic.org)	\$50,563 \$28,734	25% 25%	\$12,641 \$7,184		\$2,982 \$295									\$2,982 \$295	24% 4%	24% 4%	\$9,659 \$6,889	\$12,641 \$7,184	\$4,200 \$2,400	\$4,200 \$2,400	\$4,200 \$2,400	\$12,600 \$7,200	\$15,582 \$7,495	123% 104%	\$12,600 \$7,200	-\$2,941 -\$311	\$15,541 \$7,511	
WO 02 - Work Plan Implementation for 2004 - 2005 Sampling Event	WO 01 Subtotal \$79,297	25%	\$19,825	\$0	\$3,277	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,277	17%	17%	\$16,548	\$19,825	\$6,600	\$6,600	\$6,600	\$19,800	\$23,077	116%	\$19,800	-\$3,252	\$23,052	
2.1 DQO Refinement 2.2 Data Usability Evaluation	\$96,035 \$43,380	100% 20%	\$96,035 \$8,676	\$27,586	\$4,025 \$776									\$31,611 \$776	33%	32% 9%	\$64,424 \$7,900	\$96,035 \$8,676	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$31,611 \$776	33% 9%	\$0 \$0	\$64,424 \$7,900	\$0 \$0	Completion of DQO effort on hold pending authorization.  On hold; task plan comments submitted to Battelle.
2.3 Human Health Risk Assessment	\$224,648 \$408,364	0%	\$0 \$0		\$770									\$0 \$0	0%	970	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	On flord, task plan comments submitted to Batterie.
2.4 Ecological Risk Assessment	\$408,364 WO 02 Subtotal \$772,427	0%	\$0 \$104,711	\$27,586	\$4,801	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,387	0% 31%	30%	\$0 \$72,324	\$104,711	ΨΟ	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$32,387	31%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$72,324	\$0 \$0	
WO 03 - Interim Action Evaluation (IAE)  3.1 Identification of Candidate IAE Target Areas	\$94,559	50%	\$47,280		\$269									\$269	1%	1%	\$47,011	\$47,280	\$30,000	\$20,000	\$10,000	\$60,000	\$60,269	127%	\$0	-\$12,989	\$12,989	E-mail from S. Thompson to E. Buckrucker, USACE dated
3.2 Identification and Screening of Alternatives 3.3 Detailed Analysis and Selection of Recommended Alternative		18% 45%	\$9,225 \$87,717	\$21,242	\$873 \$35,565									\$873 \$56,807	9% 65%	9% 63%	\$8,352 \$30,910	\$9,225 \$87,717	\$15,000 \$20,000	\$10,000 \$15,000	\$0 \$10,000	\$25,000 \$45,000	\$25,873 \$101,807	280% 116%	\$0 \$0	-\$16,648 -\$14,090	\$16,648 \$14,090	May 30, 2006 noted need for additional funding of approx. \$100K on IAE due to increased coordination with NJDEP &
3.4 Development of IAE Report - Pre-Draft and Draft 3.5 Development of IAE Report - Revised Draft and Final 3.6 TAC Consultation	\$100,416 \$115,480 \$17,400	55% 0% 100%	\$55,229 \$0 \$17,400		\$10,780 \$3,336									\$10,780 \$0 \$3,336	20% 0% 19%	19%	\$44,449 \$0 \$14,064	\$55,229 \$0 \$17,400	\$30,000 \$0 \$5,000	\$20,000 \$0 \$2,500	\$20,000 \$0 \$2,500	\$70,000 \$0 \$10,000	\$80,780 \$0 \$13,336	146% 0% 77%	\$0 \$45,000 \$2,500	-\$25,551 \$0 \$4,064		In-situ Workgroup, larger dredging alternative, prep of documentation for flood modeling, porewater issues, eval. of navigationally-constrained capping, and delayed access to
3.7 Meetings	\$26,213 WO 03 Subtotal \$600,246	100%	\$26,213 \$243,064	\$21,242	\$11,494	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,494 \$83,559	44%	44%	\$14,719 \$159.505	\$26,213	\$10,000	\$20,000 \$87,500	\$10,000	\$40,000	\$51,494	196% 137%	\$10,000 \$57,500	-\$25,281 -\$90,495		laboratory analytical data.
WO 04 - Draft Field Sampling Plan Volume 2 4.1a Draft FSP Volume 2 - Biota	\$19,980	100%	\$19,980	Ψ21,242	\$18,999	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	φυ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	\$18,999	95%	100%	\$981	\$19,980			\$0	\$0	\$18,999	95%	\$0	\$981	\$0	
4.1b Final FSP Volume 2 4.1c QAPP Updates	\$26,141 \$33,707	0%	\$0		Ψ10,777									\$0 \$0	0%	10070	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
	WO 04 Subtotal \$79,828 & Investigation Total \$1,531,798	0%	\$19,980 \$387,580	\$0 \$48,828	\$18,999 <b>\$89,394</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0	\$0	\$18,999 \$138,222	95% 36%	100% 35%	\$981 \$249.358	\$19,980 \$387,580	\$0 \$116,600	\$0	\$0 \$0 \$59,100	\$0 \$269.800	\$18,999 \$408,022	95% 105%	\$0 \$77,300	\$981 -\$20,442	\$0 \$0 \$172,611	
WAD 3 - Model Development, Calibration, and Application	& Investigation Total \$1,551,798	25%	\$387,380	\$40,020	\$69,394	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	ΦU	\$0	<del></del>	\$138,222	30%	35%	\$249,338	\$387,580	\$110,000	\$94,100	\$59,100	\$209,800	\$408,022	105 %	\$77,500	-\$20,442	\$172,011	
WO 01 - Hydrodynamic Model  1.1 Development and Calibration	\$161.135	35%	\$56.397	\$0	\$2,546	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				\$2,546	5%	5%	\$53,851	\$56,397	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$45,000	\$47,546	84%	\$30,000	\$8,851	\$21,149	
WO 02 - Sediment Transport Model	WO 01 Subtotal \$161,135	35%	\$56,397	\$0	\$2,546	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,546	5%	5%	\$53,851		1 - 7	\$15,000	1 - ,	\$45,000	\$47,546	84%	\$30,000	\$8,851	\$21,149	
2.1 Development and Calibration	\$551,192 WO 02 Subtotal \$551,192	25% 25%	\$137,798 \$137,798	\$309 \$309	\$309 \$309	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$618 \$618	0%	0%	\$137,180 \$137,180	\$137,798 \$137,798	\$30,000 \$30,000	\$30,000 \$30,000	\$30,000 \$30,000	\$90,000 \$90,000	\$90,618 \$90,618	66% 66%	\$120,000 \$120,000	\$47,180 \$47,180	\$72,820 \$72,820	
WO 03 - Fate & Transport Model 3.1 Development and Calibration	\$116,928	0%	\$0											\$0	0%		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
WO 04 - Food Chain Model	WO 03 Subtotal \$116,928	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	
4.1 Development and Calibration	\$42,963 WO 04 Subtotal \$42,963	0%	\$0 \$0 <b>\$194,195</b>	\$0 \$309	\$0 \$2,855	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0 <b>\$3.164</b>	0%	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	\$0 \$0 <b>\$191,031</b>	\$0 \$0 <b>\$194,195</b>	\$0 \$0 \$45,000	\$0 \$0 \$45,000	\$0 \$0 <b>\$45,000</b>	\$0 \$0 <b>\$135,000</b>	\$0 \$0 <b>\$138,164</b>	0% 0% 71%	\$0 \$0 <b>\$150,000</b>	\$0 \$0 \$56,031	\$0 \$0 <b>\$93,969</b>	
WAD 3 - Model Development, Calibration a WAD 4 - Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) Oversight	and Application Total \$872,218	22%	\$194,195	\$309	\$2,833	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	ΦU	ΦU	ΦU	<b>\$</b> U	<b>30</b>	\$3,104	2%	#DIV/0:	\$191,031	\$194,195	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$135,000	\$130,104	/1%	\$150,000	\$50,051	\$93,909	
WO 01 - Field Work Oversight  1.1 Field Work Oversight	\$157,981		\$0											\$0	NA		\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$15,000	\$5,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	0%	\$30,000	-\$50,000	\$80,000	Potential July 2006 Water Column Oversight
WO 02 - Reports/Product Oversight	WO 01 Subtotal \$157,981	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	#DIV/0!	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$15,000	\$5,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	0%	\$30,000	-\$50,000	\$80,000	2000 mas commit o reisign
2.1 Reports/Product Oversight	\$141,370 WO 02 Subtotal \$141,370		\$0 \$0	60	40	ФО	Φ0	¢0	¢0.	¢0.	60	\$0	ΦΩ	\$0 \$0	0%	#DIV/0!	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
WO 03 - Field Facility	WO 02 Subtotal \$141,370	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	#DIV/0!	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	2050/	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$93,223	
2.1 Maintenance and Support	\$89,137 WO 03 Subtotal \$89,137	37% 37%	\$32,981 \$32,981	\$8,483 \$8,483	\$7,472 \$7,472	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,954 \$15,954	48%	0%	\$17,027 \$17,027	\$32,981 \$32,981	\$8,500 \$8,500			\$84,750 \$84,750	\$100,704 \$100,704	305% 305%	\$25,500 \$25,500	-\$67,723 -\$67,723	\$93,223	
WAD 4 - PR WAD 10 - Project Expenses	RP Oversight Subtotal \$388,488	8%	\$32,981	\$8,483	\$7,472	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$15,954	48%	#DIV/0!	\$17,027	\$32,981	\$38,500	\$82,750	\$13,500	\$134,750	\$150,704	457%	\$55,500	-\$117,723	\$173,223	
WAD 10 - Troject Expenses WO 01 - Travel Expenses 1.1 Travel Expenses	\$4,331	25%	\$1,083	\$48	\$149									\$197	18%	100%	\$886	\$1,083	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$197	18%	0.2	\$886	\$0	
	\$4,331 WO 01 Subtotal \$4,331	25%	\$1,083	\$48	\$149	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$197	18%	100%	\$886	\$1,083	\$0 \$0	Ψΰ	ΨΟ	\$0 \$0	\$197	18%	\$0 \$0	\$886	\$0 \$0	
WO 02 - ODCs and Non-Travel Expenses 2.1 ODCs and Non-Travel Expenses	\$107,954	35%	\$37,784	\$1,134	\$2,819	\$0	\$0	\$0	**	\$0	\$0	40	40	\$3,952	10%	0%	\$33,832	\$37,784	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,952	10%	\$0	\$33,832	\$0	
WAD 10 - Proje	WO 02 Subtotal         \$107,954           ect Expenses Subtotal         \$112,285	35% 35%	\$37,784 <b>\$38,867</b>	\$1,134 <b>\$1,181</b>	\$2,819 <b>\$2,968</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 \$0	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3,952 <b>\$4,149</b>	10% 11%	0% 0%	\$33,832 <b>\$34,718</b>	\$37,784 <b>\$38,867</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$3,952 <b>\$4,149</b>	10% 11%	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	\$33,832 <b>\$34,718</b>	\$0 <b>\$0</b>	
WAD 8 - Fee WAD 08 - Base Fee	\$71,701	250/	¢17.025	\$877	¢1 050									¢2 722	150/	NTA	¢15 100	\$17.005	\$0	\$0	\$0	¢n	\$2.722	150/		\$15,192	\$0	
WAD 08 - Award Fee	\$233,028	25% 25%	\$17,925 \$58,257	\$877	\$1,856	фо	фа	фо	¢0	ψO	фо	фо	to.	\$2,733 \$0	15% 0%	NA NA	\$15,192 \$58,257	\$17,925 \$58,257	ΨΟ	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$2,733 \$0	15% 0%	фо	\$58,257	\$0	
WAD 8 -	Project Fee Subtotal \$304,729	25%	\$76,182	ţO.	\$1,856	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,733	4%	NA	\$73,449	\$76,182	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,733	4%	\$0	\$73,449	\$0	
Fee Claimed*	\$4,098,918	24%	\$999,449	\$93,701	\$138,005	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	<b>\$0</b>	\$231,705	23%	#VALUE!	\$767,744	\$999,449	\$255,972	\$299,850	\$168,800	\$724,622	\$956,327	96%	\$436,400	\$43,122	\$612,786	
																			_								_	

Blue font represents tasks that are completed.

<sup>\*</sup> The fee claimed does not incorporate subconsultant charges that have not yet been invoiced to the USACE.

### LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT LABORATORY DATA STATUS TABLE FALL 2005 and JAN 2006 FIELD PROGRAMS

Program/Analysis  High Resolution Coring (select cores)	Laboratory	Samples Submitted	Unit Price	JTD Cost	Archived in Freezer	Being Processed by Laboratory	Un-validated Data Partially Received	All Un-validated Data Received	Paper copy & e-copy of Un-Validated Data	Paper copy and e-copy of Validated Data	Validated Data Partially Received	All Validated Data Received in PREMIS	Notes
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	STL	774	\$65	\$50,310							$\overline{}$	31-Jul	Validation w/emphasis on 6 cores.
Grain Size (laser method)	STL		φου	φυσ,υ1σ	$\sim$						$\overline{}$	51 041	Turusion Well-places on Cooles
Radiological - Cs-137	Outreach	863	\$75	\$64,725							$\times$	31-Jul	Validation complete on all original core segments samples. Data has been received on the approximatley 70 additional samples submitted to fill-in and confirm data on cores selected. New data is being plotted/evaluated and will be submitted for validation if data is usable and validation budget permits.
Radiological - Pb-210	Outreach	730	\$50	\$36,500			$\times$						Laboratory needs to re-analyze one more of the selected 6 core samples as Po-210. In 6/21/06 call, OutReach projected that prelim. data on last core will be available week of 6/26. Estimate 30 days for validation
PCB	Axys	79	\$950	\$75,050		$\!$							Axys reported to us that this week they have completed analyses all but the last batch of 20
Dioxin	Axys	79	\$700	\$55,300		$\!$						30-Aug	1 0 1
Pesticide	Axys	79	\$325	\$25,675		$\sim$						30-Aug	validation.  Samples not submitted due to budget. PCBs can be held for up to 1 year if frozen. See comments
PCB (to be submitted)	Axys	36	\$950	\$34,200	$\stackrel{\times}{\hookrightarrow}$	18-Jul						NA	on pesticides below.  Samples not submitted due to budget. PCBs can be field for up to 1 year if frozen. See comments.  Samples not submitted due to budget. Dioxins can be held for up to 1 year if frozen. See comments.
Dioxin (to be submitted)	Axys	36	\$700	\$25,200	$\times$	18-Jul						NA	on pesticides below.
Pesticide (to be submitted)	Axys	36	\$325	\$11,700	X	18-Jul						NA	Samples not submitted due to budget. Samples should be shipped by 7/18/06 to avoid violation of 299 day pesticide holding time limit. (Core 26A pesticides must be analyzed before 8/1/06 and Core 32A before 8/6/06). PCB Congeners, Dioxins and Pesticides are extracted together. Axys is willing to extract the samples, analyze the pesticide at this time and hold PCBs and Dioxins extracts in the freezer until funds are available to analyze these fractions.
РАН	Axys	148	\$325	\$48,100				X					Nearly completed. PAH data validation was paused to give priority to validation of Low Res.  Passaic and Newark Bay PCB Congeners. EQA has been requested to give PAH a higher priority.  EQA has projected validation will be completed during the week of July 10.  Received last of the re-analyses CLP validated data this week. IT resolving the MEDD and upload
Metals V radiography	CLP Sentinel	229	NA	NA								$\overline{}$	issues.
X-radiography Total Hi Res Job-to-date (JTD) Cost	To be determined	1	<del>                                     </del>	\$355,660									
Total Hi Res Costs Pending			<del>                                     </del>	\$84.100									36 PCBs/Dioxin/Pesticides, \$10K in withheld Pb-210, HRGC pesticide reanalysis
Low Resolution Coring				ψυτ,100									30 1 CB of DIOATH I Concludes, whose in withheld 1 0-210, TINOC posticide realitysis
PCB Aroclor	CLP A4	62	NA	NA		$\overline{}$				$\sim$			
SVOC and PAH	CLP A4	62	NA	NA						>>			Data received and provided data evaluation team, but is only partially in PRErmis due to EDD
Metals (plus cyanide and mercury)	CLP Sentinel	62	NA	NA						$\geq <$			format problems. IT appears to have resolved issues and is correcting EDDs. We project that all the
VOC	CLP A4	60	NA	NA						> <			inorganic data will be in PREmis by 6/30. There are QA issues reported with the Validated PCB
Herbicide	STL - VT	62	\$145	\$8,990						> <			Aroclor data. This need further investigation. Bill Sy of EPA was briefed on these problems.
Immunoassay - 20 samples for correlation	STL - TN	21		\$6,405		X					$\times$		Data based upon their calibration stds. has been received from STL and could be uploaded to PREmis. If budget permits it should be evaluated and a correlation developed versus Axys PCB and Dioxin data on same sample set. The correlated data and validated results could than be uploaded.
Archived Immunoassay	STL - TN	50	675	¢4.425									
Radiological - Cs-137	Outreach	59	\$75 \$700	\$4,425 \$43,400								$\Leftrightarrow$	
Dioxin/Furan Pesticide	Axys Axys	62 62	\$700	\$43,400								$\Leftrightarrow$	
PCB Congener	Axys	62	\$950	\$58,900								$\Leftrightarrow$	
TOC	STL - VT	60	\$65	\$3,900								$\Leftrightarrow$	
ТРН	STL - VT			\$9,425					<b> </b>			$\Leftrightarrow$	
ırп	S1L - V1	65	\$145	<b>\$9,425</b>	l			l	1	l		$\overline{}$	

### LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT LABORATORY DATA STATUS TABLE FALL 2005 and JAN 2006 FIELD PROGRAMS

Program/Analysis	Laboratory	Samples Submitted	Unit Price	JTD Cost	Archived in Freezer	Being Processed by Laboratory	Un-validated Data Partially Received	All Un-validated Data Received	Paper copy & e-copy of Un-Validated Data	Paper copy and e-copy of Validated Data	Validated Data Partially Received	All Validated Data Received in PREMIS	Notes
Geotechnical - Moisture	STL - VT	64	\$10	\$640	7			$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$				NA	No validation planned.
Geotechnical - Grain Size	STL - VT	61	\$100	\$6,100				>				NA	No validation planned.
Geotechnical - Specific Gravity	STL - VT	61	\$35	\$2,135				>				NA	No validation planned.
Geotechnical - pH	STL - VT	61	\$10	\$610									
Total Low Res JTD Cost				\$158,675									
Total Low Res Costs Pending				\$6,405									
Water Column Large Volume (a,b)													
water Column Large volume													Data not entered into PREmis with field application. Need to do preliminary data evaluation before
Dantinidas	Avvio	-	\$200	\$1.500					$\times$			20 Iul	**
Pesticides PCP Congoners	Axys	5	\$300 \$900	\$1,500 \$4,500	+	+			$\longleftrightarrow$		1		validation
PCB Congeners	Axys	5	\$650	\$3,250	-				$\Leftrightarrow$			30-Jul 30-Jul	
Dioxin/Furans 20L Bottle Processing Fee & Filters	Axys Axys	2.	\$650 \$550	\$3,250 \$1,100	+				$\frown$		NA	3U-Jul	Sample processing costs — no associated data
Total Large Vol JTD Cost	Axys		\$330	\$1,100							NA		Sample processing costs no associated data.
				\$10,330									
Water Column Small Volume (a,b)													
Mercury Total	Brooks Rand	30	\$219	\$6,570					$\approx$			30-Jul	
Mercury Filter	Brooks Rand	30		See above					$\geq \leq$			30-Jul	
Methyl mercury Total	Brooks Rand	30	\$404	\$12,120					$>\!\!<$				EDDs submitted by Brooks Rand but found to be incomplete and in error. Working with Jim
Methyl mercury Filter	Brooks Rand	30		See above					$\geq \leq$			30-Jul	Madison at STL, who subcontracted Brooks Rand and IT to resolve problems
Particulate Organic Carbon (POC)	STL - VT	26	\$80	\$2,080					$>\!\!<$			30-Jul	
Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)	STL - VT	26	\$50	\$1,300					$\times$			30-Jul	
Metals Total	CLP Sentinel	31	NA	NA							> <	30-Jul	
Metals Filter	CLP Sentinel	31	NA	NA							> <		Metals re-analyses data received. MEDD format issues being resolved by IT, who will upload
Cyanide	CLP Sentinel	14	NA	NA							$>\!\!<$		validated data
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	STL - VT	89	\$20	\$1,780					$\geq \leq$			30-Jul	
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)	STL - VT	13	\$25	\$325					$>\!\!<$			30-Jul	
COD/TKN/Total P	STL - VT	14	\$100	\$1,400					$\geq \leq$			30-Jul	
Chlorophyll A	Westfield	14	\$50	\$700					$>\!\!<$			30-Jul	
Ammonia	STL - VT	13	\$20	\$260					$>\!\!<$			30-Jul	
VOC	CLP A4	23	NA	NA							$\geq \leq$	30-Jul	
SVOC	CLP A4	18	NA	NA							><	30-Jul	Data needs review. MEDD format issues being resolved by IT, who will upload validated data
Chlorinated Herbicides	STL - VT	18	\$145	\$2,610					$>\!\!<$			30-Jul	
Ortho-Phosphate	STL - VT	14	\$50	\$700					$\times$			30-Jul	
Total JTD Small Volume				\$29,845									
Water Column High Flow Event													
Volatile Suspended Solids	DESA	135	NA	NA								$\geq \leq$	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	DESA	135	NA	NA								$\geq \leq$	
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	DESA	29	NA	NA								>	Problems with EDD received from DESA lab were resolved and the data was successfully
Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)	DESA	29	NA	NA								$\sim$	uploaded to PREmis on 6/21/06
SPMD - Deployment 1													
Dioxin/Furan	Axys	12	\$600	\$7,200				$\ll$				30-Jul	
PCB Congener	Axys	12	\$850	\$10,200				$\ll$				30-Jul	The concentrations of the analytes in extracts has been reported by Axys. This data needs to be
Pesticides	Axys	12	\$270	\$3,240				$\ll$				30-Jul	evaluated and the analyte concentrations in the water column calculated based upon deployment
PAH	Axys	12	\$270	\$3,240	$\sqcup$			$\sim$				30-Jul	times and the theoretical adsorption rates of analytes into the SPMDs. If the data is found to be
Total JTD SPMD No. 1				\$23,880									acceptable/usable it can be validated and approved.
SPMD - Deployment 2													
Dioxin/Furan	Axys	15	\$600	\$9,000				$\ll$				30-Jul	
PCB Congener	Axys	15	\$850	\$12,750				$\ll$				30-Jul	
Pesticides	Axys	15	\$270	\$4,050				$\ll$				30-Jul	evaluated and the analyte concentrations in the water column calculated based upon deployment
PAH	Axys	15	\$270	\$4,050				$\sim$				30-Jul	times and the theoretical adsorption rates of analytes into the SPMDs. If the data is found to be
Total JTD SPMD No. 2				\$29,850									acceptable/usable it can be validated and approved.
Extraction Costs for SPMD Nos. 1 and 2				\$25,000									Estimated vendor purchase and extraction cost for SPMDs.
Moorings													
Hydrodynamics Data													Verifying data status; all data will be confirmed on PREmis by 6/23/2006.

- a- Sample count include QA/QC b- PREMIS sample ID issues on small volume and large volume water column programs to be resolved. c Projected dates assumes that sufficient budget will be identified to complete data validation/evaluation tasks.